

# WACH MODULES AND IND-ALGEBRAICITY OF THE EMERTON–GEE STACK

POL VAN HOF TEN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us recall the basic definitions from the previous talks: Let  $\mathrm{Nilp}$  denote the category of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras where  $p$  is nilpotent and let  $\mathrm{Nilp}^{\mathrm{ft}}$  denote the full subcategory consisting of finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras where  $p$  is nilpotent. We also consider the variants  $\mathrm{Nilp}_a$  and  $\mathrm{Nilp}_a^{\mathrm{ft}}$  consisting of those objects where  $p^a$  is zero for fixed  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

Recall that for  $A$  an object of  $\mathrm{Nilp}^{\mathrm{ft}}$  we have topological rings

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}_A^+ &= A[[T]] \\ \mathbb{A}_A &= A[[T]][T^{-1}],\end{aligned}$$

where the topology on  $\mathbb{A}_A$  is such that  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$  is an open subring, equipped with the  $T$ -adic topology. Let  $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and let  $\epsilon : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  be the cyclotomic character. We equip our topological rings with an action of  $\Gamma$  determined by

$$\gamma(1 + T) = (1 + T)^{\epsilon(\gamma)},$$

and an action of Frobenius determined by  $\varphi(1 + T) = (1 + T)^p$ . Recall the following definitions for objects  $A$  of  $\mathrm{Nilp}^{\mathrm{ft}}$ :

**Definition 1.0.1.** A rank  $d$  étale  $\varphi$ -module over  $A$  is a rank  $d$  projective  $\mathbb{A}_A$ -module  $M$  equipped with a continuous semilinear action of  $\varphi$ , such that  $M$  is generated by the image of  $\varphi_M : M \rightarrow M$ .

**Definition 1.0.2.** A rank  $d$  étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $A$  is a rank  $d$  projective  $\mathbb{A}_A$ -module  $M$  equipped with commuting (continuous) semilinear actions of  $\varphi$  and  $\Gamma$ , such that  $M$  is generated by the image of  $\varphi_M : M \rightarrow M$ .

We will consider groupoid valued functors

- $\mathcal{R}_d$  which sends  $A \in \mathrm{Nilp}^{\mathrm{ft}}$  to the stack of rank  $d$  étale  $\varphi$ -modules over  $A$  and the substack  $\mathcal{R}_{d, \mathrm{free}} \subset \mathcal{R}_d$  consisting of those étale  $\varphi$ -modules over  $A$  whose underlying module is free fpqc locally on  $A$ .
- $\mathcal{R}_d^a$  which is the restriction of  $\mathcal{R}_d$  to  $\mathrm{Nilp}_a^{\mathrm{ft}}$  and similarly  $\mathcal{R}_{d, \mathrm{free}}^a$ .
- $\mathcal{X}_d$  sending  $A \in \mathrm{Nilp}$  to the groupoid of rank  $d$  étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $A$ .

**1.1. Descent.** It is not obvious that any of the groupoid valued functors we have written down are stacks in the fpqc topology. For this we consider the following result of Drinfeld:

**Proposition 1.1.1.** *The following groupoid valued functors are stacks in the fpqc topology (and the same results hold with  $\mathbb{A}_A$  replaced by  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$ )*

- *The stack of finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{A}_A$ -modules*
- *The stack of projective  $\mathbb{A}_A$ -modules of rank  $d$*
- *The stack of finitely generated  $\mathbb{A}_A$ -modules which are fpqc locally free of rank  $d$*

**Remark 1.1.2.** These results are straightforward to prove for  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$  if we restrict to Noetherian rings  $A$  and finitely presented faithfully flat maps  $A \rightarrow B$ . Indeed in that case the induced maps

$$\mathbb{A}_A^+/T^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^+/T^n$$

are faithfully flat for all  $n$ , and so we can apply usual faithfully flat descent results to  $M/T^n$  for all  $n$  and in fact to the compatible system.

The functor  $\mathcal{R}_d^a$  is just<sup>1</sup> the stack of  $\varphi$ -equivariant objects of the stack of projective  $\mathbb{A}_A$ -modules of rank  $d$ , and is therefore a stack in the fpqc topology. Showing that  $\mathcal{X}_d$  satisfies fpqc descent is slightly more subtle, and we will discuss it later.

## 2. REPRESENTABILITY RESULTS FOR STACKS OF $\varphi$ -MODULES

The main representability result that we will discuss in this section is the following result of Emerton–Gee

**Theorem 2.0.1** (Theorem 1.2.1 of [3]). *The stack  $\mathcal{R}_d^a$  can be written as a (countably indexed) inductive limit of finite-type algebraic stacks over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z}$  along closed immersions.*

Roughly speaking, we will write it as the union over the ‘substack’ consisting of those étale  $\varphi$ -modules admitting a  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$ -lattice where the relative position of Frobenius is ‘bounded’.

**2.1. Bounded objects.** Let  $F \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$  be a polynomial that is congruent to a positive power of  $T$  modulo  $p$ . Let  $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  be an integer, then for  $A \in \text{Nilp}^{\text{ft}}$  we define:

**Definition 2.1.1.** A  $\varphi$ -module of  $F$ -height at most  $h$  over  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$  is a finitely generated  $T$ -torsion free  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a  $\varphi$ -semilinear map  $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$  whose linearisation

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{M}} : \varphi^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This is not actually true, because of continuity. I don’t know why the continuity of  $\varphi_M$  cuts out an algebraic substack.

is injective with cokernel killed by  $F^h$ .

We will write  $\mathcal{C}_{d,h}$  for the functor on  $\mathrm{Nilp}^{\mathrm{ft}}$  sending  $A$  to the groupoid of  $\varphi$ -modules of  $F$ -height at most  $h$  over  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$  that are moreover projective modules of rank  $d$  over  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_{d,h}^a$  for its restriction to  $\mathrm{Nilp}_a^{\mathrm{ft}}$ . We have the following result of Pappas–Rapoport:

**Theorem 2.1.2** (Theorem 4.1.6 of [2], originally Thm. 2.1 (a), Cor. 2.6 of [5]). *The stack  $\mathcal{C}_{d,h}^a$  is an algebraic stack of finite presented over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z}$  with affine diagonal. Furthermore the morphism  $\mathcal{C}_{d,h}^a \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{d,\mathrm{free}}^a$  is representable by proper algebraic spaces of finite presentation.*

**Remark 2.1.3.** Let us explain why this morphism is proper: The moduli spaces of  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$ -lattices in  $\mathbb{A}_A^d$  is given by an ind-proper ind-scheme (the affine Grassmannian for  $\mathrm{GL}_d$ ). The condition that  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$ -stable together with the condition that  $\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}$  is of  $F$ -height at most  $h$  give us upper and lower bounds for  $\mathcal{M}$ . So we should expect to land in a finite part of the affine Grassmannian. [The actual proof is not so simple, since we are not quite choosing lattices, but lattices up to  $\sigma$ -conjugation].

The way Emerton–Gee prove Theorem 2.0.1 is by showing that the morphism

$$\mathcal{C}_{d,h}^a \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_d^a$$

admits a ‘scheme-theoretic image’ which is itself an algebraic stack. They then show that

$$\varinjlim_h \mathcal{R}_{d,h}^a \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_d^a$$

is an isomorphism, which seems very plausible as it is sort of asserting the (local) existence of  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$ -lattices in  $\varphi$ -modules over  $A$ .

**2.2. A brief discussion of scheme-theoretic images of morphisms of (not necessarily algebraic) stacks.** Recall that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a quasicompact morphism of schemes, then the scheme-theoretic image of  $f$  is well behaved. This is per definition the smallest closed subscheme  $Z \subset Y$  such that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  factors through  $Z$ . When  $f$  is quasicompact then the resulting morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  is dominant, see Lemma 01R8 of the stacks project. When  $f : \mathrm{Spec} B \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$  corresponding to  $f^\# : A \rightarrow B$  then the scheme-theoretic image of  $f$  is just  $\mathrm{Spec} A / \ker f^\# \subset \mathrm{Spec} A$ . The formation of the scheme-theoretic image commutes with flat base change.

Similarly if  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is a quasicompact morphism of algebraic stacks, then the scheme-theoretic image of  $f$  can be defined as the smallest closed substack  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{Y}$  through which  $f$  factors [This always exists by Lemma 0CPU of the stacks project]. Moreover, the morphism  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  has dense image on topological spaces, and the formation of scheme-theoretic images commutes with flat base change.

In the situation that we are in  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  will be a quasi-compact morphism of stacks, where  $\mathcal{X}$  is algebraic and locally of finite presentation, and where  $\mathcal{Y}$  will be of finite presentation with representable diagonal of finite presentation. However  $\mathcal{Y}$  will not necessarily be algebraic or even ind-algebraic. In this setting Emerton–Gee define a scheme-theoretic image  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ , which is just a substack. They then prove the following theorem

**Theorem 2.2.1** (Theorem 1.1.1 of [3]). *Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is proper. Suppose that  $\mathcal{Y}$  admits (not necessarily Noetherian) versal rings at all finite type points, and that  $\mathcal{Z}$  satisfies the Rim–Schlessinger conditions and admits effective Noetherian versal rings at all finite type points. Then  $\mathcal{Z}$  is algebraic.*

Versal rings for  $\mathcal{R}_{d,h}^a$  are given by unrestricted framed deformation rings for  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , which are not necessarily Noetherian. It is explained in [1] that the versal rings for the scheme-theoretic image  $\mathcal{R}_{d,h}^a$  these corresponds to the ‘finite height’ framed deformation rings of

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})),$$

which were shown to be Noetherian in work of Kim [4]. The real difficulty then, is to prove effectivity, which is something we will not discuss here. The upshot of this is that we get the following result:

**Theorem 2.2.2** (Corollary 4.2.3 of [2]). *The stack  $\mathcal{R}_d$  is a limit preserving Ind-algebraic stack, whose diagonal is representable by algebraic spaces, affine and of finite presentation.*

### 3. REPRESENTABILITY RESULTS FOR STACKS OF $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -MODULES

The main theorem that we are going to prove today is the following, where we let  $\mathcal{X}_d^a$  be the restriction of  $\mathcal{X}_d$  to  $\text{Nilp}_a^{\text{ft}}$ .

**Theorem 3.0.1** (Proposition 3.4.12 of [1]). *The stack  $\mathcal{X}_d^a$  can be written as a (countably indexed) inductive limit of algebraic spaces of finite presentation over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z}$ , with transition maps given by closed immersions. Moreover the diagonal of  $\mathcal{X}_d$  is representable by algebraic spaces, affine and of finite presentation.*

The difficulty in deducing this from Theorem 2.2.2 is with the continuity assumption on the  $\Gamma$ -action. Indeed, choose a topological generator  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and let  $\Gamma_{\text{disc}} \subset \Gamma$  be the subgroup it generates. Then we define an étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\text{disc}})$ -module over  $A \in \text{Nilp}_a^{\text{ft}}$  to be an étale  $(\varphi)$ -module over  $A$  equipped with a semilinear action of  $\Gamma_{\text{disc}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}_d^{\Gamma_{\text{disc}}}$  be the stack on  $\text{Nilp}_a^{\text{ft}}$  sending  $A$  to the groupoid of étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\text{disc}})$ -modules over  $A$ .

Let  $\gamma_* : \mathcal{R}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_d$  be the functor which takes an étale  $\varphi$ -module over  $A$  and pulls it back along  $\gamma : \mathbb{A}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_A$ . It follows from the definitions of the stacky fiber product that the stack  $\mathcal{R}_d^{\Gamma_{\text{disc}}}$  can be identified with the fiber

product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_d^{\Gamma_{\text{disc}}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma_\gamma \\ \mathcal{R}_d & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathcal{R}_d \times \mathcal{R}_d \end{array}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal morphism and  $\Gamma_\gamma$  is the graph of  $\gamma$ . Since the fiber product of algebraic stacks is again algebraic, the fiber product of (countably indexed) Ind-algebraic stacks is again a (countably indexed) Ind-algebraic stack.

There is a natural morphism  $\mathcal{X}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_d^\Gamma$  which takes an étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $A$  and sends it to the underlying étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\text{disc}})$ -module over  $A$ . Since  $\Gamma_{\text{disc}}$  is dense in  $\Gamma$ , this morphism is a monomorphism, i.e., fully faithful on  $A$ -points. Unfortunately, it isn't true that it is a closed (or open) substack, and therefore we are no closer to proving that  $\mathcal{X}_d$  is an Ind-algebraic stack. The following lemma gives equivalent conditions for an étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\text{disc}})$ -module over  $A$  to arise from an étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $A$ .

**Lemma 3.0.2.** *The following are equivalent for an étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\text{disc}})$ -module over  $A$ , where  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra*

- *The action of  $\Gamma_{\text{disc}}$  extends to a continuous action of  $\Gamma$ .*
- *The action of  $\gamma$  on  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$  is topologically nilpotent.*
- *There is a  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$ -lattice<sup>2</sup>  $\mathcal{M} \subset M$  and  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that*

$$(\gamma^{p^s} - 1)\mathcal{M} \subset T\mathcal{M}.$$

- *For any  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$ -lattice  $\mathcal{M} \subset M$  there is an  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that*

$$(\gamma^{p^s} - 1)\mathcal{M} \subset T\mathcal{M}.$$

It now follows that  $\mathcal{X}_d$  is actually a stack in the fpqc topology, because the last condition can be checked fpqc locally. [At least, this is how I think of it, in [2] it is said that the fact that  $\mathcal{X}_d$  is a stack is a consequence of the descent results of Drinfeld.]

**3.1. Weak Wach modules.** To prove our Ind-representability results for  $\mathcal{X}_d$ , we use a similar trick to the one in our proof of the Ind-representability of  $\mathcal{R}_d$ .

**Definition 3.1.1.** Let  $A \in \text{Nilp}^{\text{ft}}$  and let  $s, h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then a *weak Wach-module* of height  $\leq h$  and level  $\leq s$  over  $A$  to be a projective rank  $d$  étale  $\varphi$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $F$ -height at most  $h$  over  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$  together with a semilinear action of  $\Gamma_{\text{disc}}$  on  $M = \mathcal{M}[1/T]$  satisfying  $(\gamma^{p^s} - 1)\mathcal{M} \subset T\mathcal{M}$ . We will write  $\mathcal{W}_{d,h,s}$  for the stack on  $\text{Nilp}^{\text{ft}}$  sending  $A$  to the groupoid of weak Wach-modules of height  $\leq h$  and level  $\leq s$  over  $A$ .

<sup>2</sup>This means a finitely generated  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$ -submodule which generates  $M$  as an  $\mathbb{A}_A$ -module.

There is a natural monomorphism

$$\mathcal{W}_{d,h,s} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_d^{\Gamma^{\text{disc}}} \times_{\mathcal{R}_d} \mathcal{C}_{d,h},$$

because the right hand side is the moduli stack of Weak Wach modules of height  $\leq h$  (but with no condition on the level).

**Proposition 3.1.2.** *For each  $s$  this morphism is representable by a closed immersion of finite presentation. In particular for  $s \geq s'$  the natural map*

$$\mathcal{W}_{d,h,s} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{d,h,s'}$$

*are closed immersions of finite presentation.*

The proof of this Proposition comes down to showing that the condition for the  $\gamma$ -action to satisfy

$$(\gamma^{p^s} - 1)\mathcal{M} \subset T\mathcal{M}$$

is cut out by finitely many equations, which happens in Proposition 3.3.5 of [1].

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.0.1.** We define  $\mathcal{W}_{d,h} := \varinjlim_s \mathcal{W}_{d,h,s}$ . This is an Ind-algebraic stack whose  $A$ -points can be identified with the groupoid of étale  $\varphi$ -modules  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $F$ -height at most  $h$  over  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$  together with a continuous semilinear action of  $\Gamma$  on  $M = \mathcal{M}[1/T]$ .

Note that there is a natural morphism  $\mathcal{W}_{d,h} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_d$  which just takes  $\mathcal{M}$  and sends it to  $M = \mathcal{M}[1/T]$  equipped with the Frobenius induced from  $\mathcal{M}$  and its continuous  $\Gamma$ -action. Per definition there is a 2-fiber product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W}_{d,h} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_d^{\Gamma^{\text{disc}}} \times_{\mathcal{R}_d} \mathcal{C}_{d,h} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X}_d & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_d^{\Gamma^{\text{disc}}} \end{array}$$

Now if  $h \leq h'$  there is a closed immersion  $\mathcal{C}_{d,h} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{d,h'}$  compatible with the map to  $\mathcal{R}_d$ ; this induces closed immersions

$$\mathcal{W}_{d,h} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{d,h'}$$

compatible with the map to  $\mathcal{X}_d$ . In particular there is an induced morphism

$$\varinjlim_h \mathcal{W}_{d,h} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_d^{\Gamma^{\text{disc}}}.$$

We now define  $\mathcal{X}_{d,h,s}^a$  to be the scheme-theoretic image of  $\mathcal{W}_{d,h,s}^a \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_d^{\Gamma^{\text{disc}}}$ . [Here we don't need any complicated definition of the scheme-theoretic image since the source is algebraic and the target is Ind-algebraic.] We now need to show that  $\mathcal{X}_{d,h,s}^a$  is a closed substack of  $\mathcal{X}_d^a$  rather than just of  $\mathcal{R}_d^{\Gamma^{\text{disc}}}$ . To be precise, we need to show that  $\mathcal{X}_{d,h,s}^a$  is a substack of  $\mathcal{X}_d^a$ . This is more subtle than you might think, and is carried out in Lemma 3.4.9 of [1]. [By

definition of the scheme-theoretic image, we know that  $\mathcal{X}_{d,h,s}^a(A) \subset \mathcal{X}_d^a(A)$  for Artin local  $A$ , so we need to show that a certain  $\Gamma_{\text{disc}}$ -action extends to a  $\Gamma$ -action on an étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over an object in  $\text{Nilp}^{\text{ft}}$  if it so extends for all Artin local quotients.]

Finally, we need to show that

$$\varinjlim_{h,s} \mathcal{X}_{d,h,s}^a = \mathcal{X}_d^a.$$

This last statement comes down to surjectivity, which just means that an étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $M$  over  $A$  admits a  $\mathbb{A}_A^+$ -lattice with good properties, étale locally on  $A$ . This is straightforward to do when  $M$  is a free  $\mathbb{A}_A$  module, and Emerton–Gee reduce to this case in their proof (see Proposition 3.4.10 of [1]).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Matthew Emerton and Toby Gee, *Moduli stacks of étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules and the existence of crystalline lifts*, arXiv e-prints (August 2019), available at [1908.07185](#).
- [2] ———, *Moduli stacks of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules: a survey*, arXiv e-prints (December 2020), available at [2012.12719](#).
- [3] Matthew Emerton and Toby Gee, ‘Scheme-theoretic images’ of morphisms of stacks, *Algebr. Geom.* **8** (2021), no. 1, 1–132. [MR4174286](#)
- [4] Wansu Kim, *Galois deformation theory for norm fields and flat deformation rings*, *J. Number Theory* **131** (2011), no. 7, 1258–1275. [MR2782840](#)
- [5] G. Pappas and M. Rapoport,  *$\Phi$ -modules and coefficient spaces*, *Mosc. Math. J.* **9** (2009), no. 3, 625–663, back matter. [MR2562795](#)